



# Issues in Informing Science + Information Technology

*An Official Publication  
of the Informing Science Institute  
InformingScience.org*

*IISIT.org*

**Volume 21, 2024**

Editor in Chief:

Eli Cohen, Informing Science Institute (USA)

**Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology (IISIT)** is a peer-reviewed journal that publishes selected high-quality articles from the Informing Science and Information Technology Education (InSITE) conferences.

All conference manuscripts have been submitted and reviewed electronically using the same review system as our Journals. We provide our published authors with both a quality print publication and widespread readership. This approach ensures that published works are read and cited by the widest possible audience.

(CC BY-NC 4.0) The articles in this journal are licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). When you copy and redistribute this paper in full or in part, you need to provide proper attribution to it to ensure that others can later locate this work (and to ensure that others do not accuse you of plagiarism). You may (and we encourage you to) adapt, remix, transform, and build upon the material for any non-commercial purposes. This license does not permit you to use this material for commercial purposes.

**Online ISSN: 1547-5867**

Published by the Informing Science Institute  
131 Brookhill Ct., Santa Rosa, California USA  
phone: +1-707-537-2211  
<http://informingscience.org>

The Journal of  
Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology

Volume 21, 2024  
Table of Contents

..... ARTICLE #

[Gamified Cybersecurity Education Through the Lens of the Information Search Process: An Exploratory Study of Capture-the-Flag Competitions \[Research-in-Progress\]](#)  
Albert Tay, Sebastian Hayes, Drew Wilson, Emmie Hall, Dallin Kaufman ..... 001

[Information Technology in Healthcare: A Systematic Literature Review](#)  
Amir Reza Asadi, Taiwo Peter Akinremi, Hazem Said ..... 002

[The Impact of IT Evolution on Industries and Workforce Skills: A Systematic Literature Review](#)  
Hansinie Madushika Jayathilake, Lily Edinam Botsyoe, Hazem Said ..... 003

[How Different Are Johnson and Wang? Documenting Discrepancies in the Records of Ethnic Scholars in Scopus](#)  
Trang Pham ..... 004

[Perceptions of DEIA, Job Satisfaction, and Leadership Among U.S. Federal Government Employees](#)  
Christina Junior, Nicole Hollywood, John Padgett ..... 005

[The Absorption Experience of Gen Y Beginning Teachers in Elementary Schools, From the Point of View of the Beginning Teachers and Their Mentors](#)  
Gila Cohen Zilka ..... 006

[Evolution of Information Technology in Industry: A Systematic Literature Review](#)  
Siddique Abubakr Muntaka, Joel Kwesi Appiah, Hazem Said ..... 007

[Information and Communications Technology and Resilience of First-Generation Students Compared to Students with Educated Parents](#)  
Ilan Daniels Rahimi, Gila Cohen Zilka, Orit Avidov-Ungar ..... 008

### *Review Process*

Except where otherwise noted, all papers were reviewed using a process commonly known as double-blind (that is, with author and affiliation information) by between 6 and 10 external reviewers. The reviewers did not know the identity of the authors nor the authors of the reviewers. Reviewers were matched to papers using a formula to minimize the psychological distance between reviewers' stated expertise and interest and the topics covered in the paper. In cases where this formula did not provide at least 6 reviewers, reviewers were randomly assigned to papers. No reviewer was required to review more than 3 papers.

Reviewers were instructed to mentor the submission's authors by providing feedback on how to improve the submission. They were further required to recommend whether or not the paper should be accepted using a six-point scale (from "reject" to "must accept").

The authors of all papers, whether accepted or not, were provided with the reviewers' feedback as part of our process of mentoring authors. Authors of accepted papers were required to revise their submissions in light of the issues raised in the reviews.

We believe that the papers in this journal represent a great contribution to science.