



Issues in Informing Science + Information Technology

*An Official Publication
of the Informing Science Institute
InformingScience.org*

IISIT.org

Volume 20, 2023

Editor in Chief:

Eli Cohen, Informing Science Institute (USA)

Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology (IISIT) is a peer-reviewed journal that publishes selected high-quality articles from the Informing Science and Information Technology Education (InSITE) conferences.

All conference manuscripts have been submitted and reviewed electronically using the same review system as our Journals. We provide our published authors with both a quality print publication and widespread readership. This approach ensures that published works are read and cited by the widest possible audience.

(CC BY-NC 4.0) The articles in this journal are licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). When you copy and redistribute this paper in full or in part, you need to provide proper attribution to it to ensure that others can later locate this work (and to ensure that others do not accuse you of plagiarism). You may (and we encourage you to) adapt, remix, transform, and build upon the material for any non-commercial purposes. This license does not permit you to use this material for commercial purposes.

Online ISSN: 1547-5867

Published by the Informing Science Institute
131 Brookhill Ct., Santa Rosa, California USA
phone: +1-707-537-2211
<http://informingscience.org>

The Journal of
Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology

Volume 20, 2023

Table of Contents

[The Academic Discipline of Information Technology: A Systematic Literature Review](#)
Roshanak Basty, Asuman Celik, Hazem Said 1-23

[The Role of the Discipline of Information Technology: A Systematic Literature Review](#)
Josette R. Riep, Kemi Akanbi, Hazem Said 25-38

[Pedagogical Training During the COVID-19 Epidemic and Its Two Tracks: Remote and Face-To-Face](#)
Merav Aizenberg 39-52

[Case-Based Experiential/Immersive Learning for Business Problem-Solving: A Plan in Progress](#)
Stephen J. Andriole 53-66

[Mandatory Gamified Security Awareness Training Impacts on Texas Public Middle School Students: A Qualitative Study](#)
James J Meadows, Samuel Sambasivam 67-94

[Exploring New AI-Based Technologies to Enhance Students' Motivation](#)
Wissal Neji, Naouel Boughattas, Faten Ziadi 95-101

[Gen Z Self-Portrait: Vitality, Activism, Belonging, Happiness, Self-Image, and Media Usage Habits](#)
Gila Cohen Zilka 111-128

[Self-Efficacy in Learning English as a Foreign Language Via Online Courses in Higher Education](#)
Ilan Daniels Rahimi, Gila Cohen Zilka 129-147

[Corpus Processing of Multi-Word Discourse Markers for Advanced Learners](#)
Chaya Liebeskind, Giedrė Valūnaitė-Oleškevičienė 149-169

[Educational Card Games: One Way of Assisting the Communication Skills Development of Nursing Students Whose First Language is Not English](#)
Jonathan Marquez, Joy C Penman

Review Process

Except where otherwise noted, all papers were reviewed using a process commonly known as double-blind (that is, with author and affiliation information) by between 6 and 10 external reviewers. The reviewers did not know the identity of the authors nor the authors of the reviewers. Reviewers were matched to papers using a formula to minimize the psychological distance between reviewers' stated expertise and interest and the topics covered in the paper. In cases where this formula did not provide at least 6 reviewers, reviewers were randomly assigned to papers. No reviewer was required to review more than 3 papers.

Reviewers were instructed to mentor the submission's authors by providing feedback on how to improve the submission. They were further required to recommend whether or not the paper should be accepted using a six-point scale (from "reject" to "must accept").

The authors of all papers, whether accepted or not, were provided with the reviewers' feedback as part of our process of mentoring authors. Authors of accepted papers were required to revise their submissions in light of the issues raised in the reviews.

We believe that the papers in this journal represent a great contribution to science.